

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,831	03/28/2000	Yoram Ofek	SYN 1756 5043 EXAMINER	
20787	7590 05/28/2004			
SITRICK & SITRICK 8340 N LINCOLN AVENUE SUITE 201			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
SKOKIE, IL 60077		·1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2662	18
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/535,831	OFEK ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Dmitry Levitan	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 					
2. $igtiz$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 	ction(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 21-52,54-67,82-86 and 89-91.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>/_</u> .,_/			
0. Other:	MV.	K			
	1112	W7011			
	SUPERVISORY PATECHNOLOGY	PENT EXAMINEH			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/535,831

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to the specification raises new issue concerning the inventorship under 102f. Claiming priority to Dr. Ofek patent basically implies that Mr. Baldi is not the inventor of the claimed invention, since the invention is fully disclosed and anticipated by the patent to Dr. Ofek to which Mr. Baldi had no contribution.

In other words, Dr. Ofek is a sole inventor of the mentioned parent applicatio/patent including all claims. This continuation-in-part is fully

anticipated by the parent application/patent and raise a question of the contribution of the second authorto the invention.